arXiv:1403.6012v1 [math.NT] 24 Mar 2014

NEW RESULTS ON PERMUTATION POLYNOMIALS OVER FINITE FIELDS

XIAOER QIN AND SHAOFANG HONG*

Abstract. In this paper, we get several new results on permutation polynomials over finite fields. First, by using the linear translator, we construct permutation polynomials of the forms $L(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j h_j(f_j(x))$ and $x + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(x)$. These generalize the results obtained by Kyureghyan in 2011. Consequently, we characterize permutation polynomials of the form $L(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x))$, which extends a theorem of Charpin and Kyureghyan obtained in 2009.

1. Introduction

Let p be a prime and $q = p^n$ for some $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$. Let $\mathbf{F}_p$ be the prime field and $\mathbf{F}_q$ denote the finite field with q elements. Throughout $\mathbf{F}_q^* := \mathbf{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mathbf{F}_q[x]$ represents the ring of polynomials over $\mathbf{F}_q$ in the indeterminate x. A polynomial $f(x) \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ is called a *permutation polynomial* of $\mathbf{F}_q$ if $f(x)$ induces a permutation of $\mathbf{F}_q$. More information of permutation polynomials can be found in the book of Lidl and Niederreiter [8]. Permutation polynomials have many important applications in coding theory [6], cryptography [13] and combinatorial design theory. The problem of constructing new classes of permutation polynomials over finite fields has generated much interest, see the open problems in [7]. There has many surveys in finding new permutation polynomials. Wan and Lidl [14], Masuda and Zieve [11] and Zieve [17] constructed permutation polynomials of the form $x^r f(x^{(q-1)/d})$ and studied their group structure. Zieve [15] characterized the permutation polynomial of the form $x^r(1 + x^v + x^{2v} + ... + x^{kv})^t$. Recently, by using a powerful lemma, Zieve [18, 19] got some new permutation polynomials over finite fields. Ayad, Belghaba and Kihel [1] obtained some permutation binomials and proved the bound of p, if $ax^n + x^m$ permutes $\mathbf{F}_p$. Hou [4] characterized two new classes permutation polynomials over finite fields.

Let $m > 1$ be a given integer. Throughout $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x)$ denotes the *trace* from $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ to $\mathbf{F}_q$, that is, $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x) = x + x^q + \cdots + x^{q^{m-1}}$. In particular, one has $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_q/\mathbf{F}_p}(x) = x + x^p + \cdots + x^{p^{n-1}}$. A polynomial of the form $L(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i x^{q^i} \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}[x]$ is called a *linearized polynomial* over $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. It is well known that a linearized polynomial $L(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if the set of roots in $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ of $L(x)$ equals $\{0\}$ (see, for example, Theorem 7.9 of [8]). Using the trace function and linearized polynomials, a number of classes of permutation polynomials were constructed. Qin and Hong [12] constructed permutation polynomials of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{k}(L_i(x) + \gamma_i)h_i(B(x))$, where $L_i(x)$ and $B(x)$ are linearized polynomials. Marcos [10] obtained permutation

Date: March 25, 2014.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11T06, 12E20.

Key words and phrases. Permutation polynomial, linearized polynomial, linear translator, matrix.

*S. Hong is the corresponding author and was supported partially by National Science Foundation of China Grant #11371260.

polynomials of the form $bL(x) + \gamma h(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x))$. Zieve [16] presented rather more general versions of the first four constructions from [10].

The linear translator is a good technique to construct permutation polynomials. There are many classes permutation polynomials constructed by the linear translator. Charpin and Kyureghyan [3] studied permutation polynomials of the shape $G(x)+\gamma\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{2^n}/\mathbf{F}_2}(H(x))$ over $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$. Using the functions having linear translators, Charpin and Kyureghyan [2] introduced an effective method to construct permutation polynomials of the shape $G(x) + \gamma\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_q/\mathbf{F}_p}(H(x))$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$, where $G(x)$ is either a permutation or linearized polynomial. In [5], Kyureghyan further constructed permutation polynomials of the froms $x + \gamma f(x)$ and $L(x) + \gamma h(f(x))$, where $f(x)$ has a linear translator. Using linear translators, Qin and Hong [12] characterized a class permutation polynomials of the form $L_1(x) + L_2(\gamma)h(f(x))$, which generalizes a result of [5].

In this paper, our main goal is to construct some new permutation polynomials over finite fields. First, in Section 2, by using the linear translator, we characterize permutation polynomials of the forms $L(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j h_j(f_j(x))$ and $x + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(x)$. These generalize the theorems of Kyureghyan [5] obtained in 2011. Consequently, in Section 3, we characterize permutation polynomials of the shape $L(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x))$. This extends a result due to Charpin and Kyureghyan [2].

2. Constructing permutation polynomials by linear translators

In this section, we use the linear translator to construct a new class of permutation polynomials over finite fields. We first recall the definition of linear translator as follows:

Definition 2.1. [5] Let $f : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$, $a \in \mathbf{F}_q$ and α be a nonzero element in $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. If $f(x + u\alpha) - f(x) = ua$ for all $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and $u \in \mathbf{F}_q$, then we say that α is an *a-linear translator* of the function f. In particular, $a = f(\alpha) - f(0)$.

In [2], the functions holding a linear translator are characterized as follows:

Lemma 2.1. [2] *A mapping $f : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$ has a linear translator if and only if there is a non-bijective linearized polynomial $L(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}[x]$ such that $f(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\beta x + H(L(x)))$ for some mapping $H : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$.*

Ling and Qu [9] answered an open problem of [2] by showing the existence of linearized polynomials with kernel of any given dimension. We can now use the linear translator to construct permutation polynomials and give the first main result of this paper as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let k be a positive integer. Let $L : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ be a linearized polynomial such that $\dim(\mathrm{Ker}(L)) = k$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(L) \cap \mathrm{Im}(L) = \{0\}$. Let $\{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k\}$ be a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(L)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and $h_1(x), ..., h_k(x) \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ be permutation polynomials of $\mathbf{F}_q$. For any integers i and j with $1 \le i, j \le k$, let $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}_q$ and γ_i be a b_{ij}-linear translator of $f_j : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$. Then $F(x) := L(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j h_j(f_j(x))$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if $\det\left(b_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k} \neq 0$.*

Proof. First we show the sufficiency part. Let $\det\left(b_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k} \neq 0$. Taking any two elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ such that $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$, i.e.,

$$L(\alpha)+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\gamma_j h_j(f_j(\alpha))=L(\beta)+\sum_{j=1}^{k}\gamma_j h_j(f_j(\beta)).$$

It follows that

$$L(\alpha-\beta)=\sum_{j=1}^{k}\gamma_j\big(h_j(f_j(\beta))-h_j(f_j(\alpha))\big). \tag{2.1}$$

Since $\gamma_j\in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$ and $h_j(f_j(\beta))-h_j(f_j(\alpha))\in \mathbf{F}_q$ for $1\le j\le k$, one has $\sum_{j=1}^{k}\gamma_j\big(h_j(f_j(\beta$ $))-h_j(f_j(\alpha))\big)\in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$. By (2.1), we know that $\sum_{j=1}^{k}\gamma_j\big(h_j(f_j(\beta))-h_j(f_j(\alpha))\big)\in \mathrm{Im}(L)$. But $\mathrm{Ker}(L)\cap \mathrm{Im}(L)=\{0\}$. So

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k}\gamma_j\big(h_j(f_j(\beta))-h_j(f_j(\alpha))\big)=0. \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we have $L(\alpha-\beta)=0$. So $\alpha-\beta\in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$. Since $\{\gamma_1,...,\gamma_k\}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(L)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$, it follows that there exist $a_1,...,a_k\in\mathbf{F}_q$ such that

$$\alpha=\beta+a_1\gamma_1+...+a_k\gamma_k. \tag{2.3}$$

Notice that $\{\gamma_1,...,\gamma_k\}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(L)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$, we know that $\gamma_1,...,\gamma_k$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Then by (2.2), we have for $1\le j\le k$ that

$$h_j(f_j(\beta))-h_j(f_j(\alpha))=0. \tag{2.4}$$

Replacing α by $\beta+a_1\gamma_1+...+a_k\gamma_k$ in (2.4) gives us that

$$h_j(f_j(\beta))-h_j(f_j(\beta+a_1\gamma_1+...+a_k\gamma_k))=0 \text{ for } 1\le j\le k. \tag{2.5}$$

Since $h_j(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_q$, (2.5) is equivalent to

$$f_j(\beta+a_1\gamma_1+...+a_k\gamma_k)-f_j(\beta)=0 \text{ for } 1\le j\le k. \tag{2.6}$$

On the other hand, since γ_i is a b_{ij}-linear translator of f_j for all $1\le i,j\le k$, we can deduce that $f_j(\beta+a_1\gamma_1+...+a_k\gamma_k)-f_j(\beta)=a_1b_{1j}+a_2b_{2j}...+a_kb_{kj}$. Thus (2.6) is equivalent to

$$a_1b_{1j}+a_2b_{2j}...+a_kb_{kj}=0 \text{ for } 1\le j\le k. \tag{2.7}$$

It follows that $(a_1,...,a_k)\in\mathbf{F}_q^k$ is a solution of the following system of linear equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_1b_{11}+x_2b_{21}+...+x_kb_{k1}=0\\ x_1b_{12}+x_2b_{22}+...+x_kb_{k2}=0\\ \qquad\qquad\vdots\\ x_1b_{1k}+x_2b_{2k}+...+x_kb_{kk}=0. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

So by $\det\big(b_{ij}\big)_{1\le i,j\le k}\neq 0$ we know that the rank of the coefficient matrix of (2.8) is equal to k. It follows that the system (2.8) of linear equations has only zero solution. Namely, $(a_1,...,a_k)=(0,...,0)$. So by (2.3), we get that $\alpha=\beta$. Therefore $F(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. The sufficiency part is proved.

Let us now show the necessity part. Let $F(x)$ be a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. Suppose that $(a_1,...,a_k)\in\mathbf{F}_q^k$ is a solution the system (2.8) of linear equations, then (2.7) is satisfied. By the equivalence of (2.5) and (2.7), we obtain that

$$h_j(f_j(\beta))-h_j(f_j(\beta+a_1\gamma_1+...+a_k\gamma_k))=0 \text{ for } 1\le j\le k,$$

where $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. Writing $\alpha := \beta + a_1\gamma_1 + ... + a_k\gamma_k$. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \big(h_j(f_j(\beta)) - h_j(f_j(\alpha))\big) = 0.$$

Since $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$, it follows that

$$L(\alpha - \beta) = L(a_1\gamma_1 + ... + a_k\gamma_k) = a_1L(\gamma_1) + ... + a_kL(\gamma_k) = 0.$$

It then follows that

$$L(\alpha - \beta) = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \big(h_j(f_j(\beta)) - h_j(f_j(\alpha))\big).$$

We can derive immediately that $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$. Since $F(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, we have $\alpha = \beta$. Hence $a_1\gamma_1 + ... + a_k\gamma_k = 0$. But $\{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k\}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(L)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Thus $a_1 = ... = a_k = 0$. That is, the system (2.8) of linear equations has only zero solution. Thus $\det\big(b_{ij}\big)_{1\le i,j\le k} \neq 0$ as desired. The necessity part is proved.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is complete. □

By Theorem 2.1, we get the following interesting results.

Corollary 2.1. *Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer with $\gcd(p, m) = 1$, $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{m-1} \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathbf{F}_q$ be linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and $h_1(x), ..., h_{m-1}(x) \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ be permutation polynomials of $\mathbf{F}_q$. For any integers i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq m-1$, let $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}_q$ and γ_i be a b_{ij}-linear translator of $f_j : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$. Then $F(x) := \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \gamma_j h_j(f_j(x))$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if $\det\big(b_{ij}\big)_{1\le i,j\le m-1} \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q} : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$ is surjective, one has $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}) = \mathbf{F}_q$. For any $u \in \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}) \cap \mathbf{F}_q$, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(u) = 0$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(u) = mu$. Thus $mu = 0$. But the hypothesis that $\gcd(p, m) = 1$ implies that $u = 0$. Thus $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}) \cap \mathbf{F}_q = \{0\}$. So $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}) = \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathbf{F}_q^*$. Then applying Theorem 2.1 to $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x)$ concludes Corollary 2.1. □

Corollary 2.2. *Let p be an odd prime and k be a positive integer. Let $\{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k\}$ be a basis of $\mathbf{F}_{q^k}$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and $h_1(x), ..., h_k(x) \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ be permutation polynomials of $\mathbf{F}_q$. For any integers i and j with $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, let $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}_q$ and γ_i be a b_{ij}-linear translator of $f_j : \mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}} \to \mathbf{F}_q$. Then $F(x) := x - x^{q^k} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j h_j(f_j(x))$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}$ if and only if $\det\big(b_{ij}\big)_{1\le i,j\le k} \neq 0$.*

Proof. For any $u \in \mathrm{Ker}(x - x^{q^k}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(x - x^{q^k})$, we have $u = u^{q^k}$ and $u = v - v^{q^k}$ for some $v \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}$. It follows that $u = (v - v^{q^k})^{q^k} = v^{q^k} - v^{q^{2k}} = v^{q^k} - v = -u$. It implies that $2u = 0$. But p is an odd prime. So $u = 0$. We conclude that $\mathrm{Ker}(x - x^{q^k}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(x - x^{q^k}) = \{0\}$. So setting $L(x) = x - x^{q^k}$ and $m = 2k$ in Theorem 2.1 gives us Corollary 2.2. □

By Lemma 2.1, we can construct some special mappings $f : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$ having linear translators. Thus Corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 give us the following interesting consequences.

Corollary 2.3. *Let $m \geq 2$ be a positive integer with $\gcd(p, m) = 1$, $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_{m-1} \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathbf{F}_q$ be linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Let $h_j : \mathbf{F}_q \to \mathbf{F}_q$ be a permutation of $\mathbf{F}_q$ and $H_j : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_{q^m}, \beta_j \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. Then $F(x) := \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x) +$*

$\sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \gamma_j h_j(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_j(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x)) + \beta_j x))$ *is a permutation polynomial of* $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ *if and only if* $\det\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\gamma_i\beta_j)\right)_{1\le i,j\le m-1} \neq 0$.

Proof. In Corollary 2.1, we set $f_j(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_j(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x)) + \beta_j x)$. It is easy to check that γ_i is a $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\gamma_i\beta_j)$-linear translator of $f_j(x)$ for $1 \le i, j \le m-1$. Then it follows immediately from Corollary 2.1 that $F(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if $\det\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\gamma_i\beta_j)\right)_{1\le i,j\le m-1} \neq 0$. Corollary 2.3 is proved. □

Corollary 2.4. *Let p be an odd prime and k be a positive integer. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^k}$ be a primitive element of $\mathbf{F}_{q^k}$. Let $h_1(x), ..., h_k(x) \in \mathbf{F}_q[x]$ be permutation polynomials of $\mathbf{F}_q$, $H_1(x), ..., H_k(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}[x]$ and $\beta_1, ..., \beta_k \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}$. Then $F(x) := x - x^{q^k} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha^{j-1} h_j(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_j(x - x^{q^k}) + \beta_j x))$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}$ if and only if $\det\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^{i-1}\beta_j)\right)_{1\le i,j\le k} \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^k}$ is a primitive element of $\mathbf{F}_{q^k}$, it follows that the set $\{1, \alpha, ..., \alpha^{k-1}\}$ is a basis of $\mathbf{F}_{q^k}$. It is easy to check that α^{i-1} is a $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^{i-1}\beta_j)$-linear translator of $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_j(x - x^{q^k}) + \beta_j x)$ for $1 \le i, j \le k$. Applying Corollary 2.2 to $f_j = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_j(x - x^{q^k}) + \beta_j x)$ and $\gamma_j = \alpha^{j-1}$ for $1 \le j \le k$ gives us that $F(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}$ if and only if $\det\left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^{i-1}\beta_j)\right)_{1\le i,j\le k} \neq 0$. □

To illustrate Corollaries 2.3 and 2.4, we give the following examples.

Example 2.1. *Let p be an odd prime and t_1, t_2 be positive integers satisfying that $\gcd(t_i, q-1) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbf{F}_q$, $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}$ and $H_1(x), H_2(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}[x]$. Then $F(x) := x^{q^2} - x + (\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_1(x^{q^2} - x) + \beta_1 x))^{t_1} + \alpha(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_2(x^{q^2} - x) + \beta_2 x))^{t_2}$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^4}$ if and only if*

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\beta_1) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\beta_2) \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha\beta_1) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha\beta_2) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Example 2.2. *Let p be an odd prime and t_1, t_2, t_3 be positive integers satisfying that $\gcd(t_i, q^2 - 1) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}$ and $H_1(x), H_2(x), H_3(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}[x]$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^4}$ be a primitive element of $\mathbf{F}_{q^4}$ and $D_{t_i}(x, 1)$ be a Dickson polynomial for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Then $F(x) := x^{q^3} + x^{q^2} + x^q + x + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \alpha^i D_{t_i}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_i(x^{q^3} + x^{q^2} + x^q + x) + \beta_i x), 1)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^4}$ if and only if*

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha\beta_1) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha\beta_2) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha\beta_3) \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^2\beta_1) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^2\beta_2) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^2\beta_3) \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^3\beta_1) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^3\beta_2) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^4}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^3\beta_3) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

We are now in a position to state the second main result of this paper.

Theorem 2.2. *Let k and l be positive integers with $l \le k$. For any integers i and j with $1 \le i, j \le k$, let $\gamma_i \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}_q$ and γ_i be a b_{ij}-linear translator of $f_j : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$ such that $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Let $A = \left(b_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k}$ be a $k \times k$ matrix over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and I be the $k \times k$ identity matrix over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Then each of the following is true:*

(1). *$F(x) := x + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if* $\mathrm{rank}(I +$

$A) = k$.
(2). $F(x) := x + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(x)$ *is a* q^l*-to-*1 *mapping of* $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ *if* $\mathrm{rank}(I + A) = k - l$.

Proof. (1). Assume that $\mathrm{rank}(I + A) = k$. Take any two elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ satisfying $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$, that is,

$$\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(\alpha) = \beta + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(\beta), \tag{2.9}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\alpha - \beta = \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \big(f_j(\beta) - f_j(\alpha)\big). \tag{2.10}$$

Writing $a_j := f_j(\beta) - f_j(\alpha) \in \mathbf{F}_q$. By (2.10), we get that $\alpha = \beta + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j a_j$. Replacing α by $\beta + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j a_j$ in (2.9), we arrive at

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \big(a_j + f_j(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_i a_i) - f_j(\beta)\big) = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Since γ_i is a b_{ij}-linear translator of f_j for $1 \le i, j \le k$, one has $f_j(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_i a_i) - f_j(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i b_{ij}$. Thus (2.11) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j \big(a_j + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i b_{ij}\big) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Since $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$, (2.12) is equivalent to

$$a_j + \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i b_{ij} = 0 \text{ for } 1 \le j \le k. \tag{2.13}$$

Thus $(a_1, ..., a_k)^T \in \mathbf{F}_q^k$ is a solution of the system of linear equations

$$(I + A)^T X = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

where $(I + A)^T$ means the transpose of $I + A$ and $X = (x_1, , ..., x_k)^T$.

Since $\mathrm{rank}(I + A) = k$, the system (2.14) of linear equations has only zero solution. Thus $a_1 = ... = a_k = 0$. It follows from $\alpha = \beta + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j a_j$ that $\alpha = \beta$. Thus $F(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. So the sufficiency part of (1) is proved.

Now we prove the necessity part of (1). Suppose that $F(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. If $(a_1, ..., a_k)^T \in \mathbf{F}_q^k$ is a solution of the system (2.14) of linear equations, then (2.13) is true. By the equivalence between (2.13) and (2.11), we can deduce that

$$\beta + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j a_j + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_i a_i) = \beta + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(\beta)$$

for $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. Putting $\alpha := \beta + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j a_j$. Then

$$\alpha + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(\alpha) = \beta + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(\beta).$$

In other words, one has $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$. Since $F(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, we have $\alpha = \beta$. It implies that $\sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j a_j = 0$. But $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k$ are linearly independent

over $\mathbf{F}_q$, we have $(a_1, ..., a_k)^T = (0, ..., 0)^T$. Thus the system (2.14) of linear equations has only zero solution. So $\text{rank}(I + A) = k$. The necessity part of (1) is proved.

(2). Let $\text{rank}(I + A) = k - l$. If $(c_1, ..., c_k)^T \in \mathbf{F}_q^k$ is any solution of the system (2.14) of linear equations and $\beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, then $c_j + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i b_{ij} = 0$. It follows that

$$F(\beta + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j c_j) = \beta + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j c_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j f_j(\beta + \sum_{i=1}^k \gamma_i c_i)$$

$$= \beta + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j c_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j (f_j(\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i b_{ij}) \text{ (since } \gamma_i \text{ is a } b_{ij} - \text{linear translator of} f_j)$$

$$= \beta + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j f_j(\beta) + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j (c_j + \sum_{i=1}^k c_i b_{ij})$$

$$= \beta + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j f_j(\beta) = F(\beta).$$

On the other hand, since $\text{rank}(I + A) = k - l$, we know that the dimension of the space of the solutions of the system (2.14) of linear equations over $\mathbf{F}_q$ equals l, (2.14) has exactly q^l solutions. Since $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$, it follows that

$$\#\{\sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_j c_j : (c_1, ..., c_k)^T \text{ satisfies that (2.14)}\} = q^l.$$

Therefore $F(x)$ is a q^l-to-1 mapping of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. So part (2) is proved.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

Picking $k = 1$ and $l = 1$, we have the following result due to Kyureghyan [5].

Corollary 2.5. [5] *Let $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ be a b-linear translator of $f : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$. Then each of the following is true.*
(1). *$F(x) := x + \gamma f(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, if $b \neq -1$.*
(2). *$F(x) := x + \gamma f(x$ is a q-to-1 mapping of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, if $b = -1$.*

For $k = 2$, Kyureghyan [5] gave the following results.

Corollary 2.6. [5] *Let $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ be linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Suppose γ is a b_1-linear translator of $f : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$ and a b_2-linear translator of $g : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$ and moreover δ is a d_1-linear translator of $f : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$ and a d_2-linear translator of $g : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$. Then $F(x) := x + \gamma f(x) + \delta g(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, if $b_1 \neq -1$ and $d_2 - \frac{d_1 b_2}{1+b_1} \neq -1$ or by symmetry, if $d_2 \neq -1$ and $b_1 - \frac{d_1 b_2}{1+d_2} \neq -1$.*

Corollary 2.7. [5] *Let $\gamma \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathbf{F}_q$ and $M(x) := x^{q^2} - (1 + (\gamma^q - \gamma)^{q-1})x^q + (\gamma^q - \gamma)^{q-1}x$. Let $H_1, H_2 : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. Then $F(x) := x + \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_1(M(x)) + \beta_1 x) + \gamma \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_1(M(x)) + \beta_2 x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if $(1 + \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\beta_1)$ $(1 + \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\gamma\beta_2)) \neq \text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\gamma\beta_1)\text{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\beta_2)$.*

As a special case of Theorem 2.2, we have the following interesting results.

Corollary 2.8. *Let k be a positive integer. Let $L : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ be a linearized polynomial with kernel $\mathrm{Ker}(L)$ and $\{\theta_1, ..., \theta_k\}$ be a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(L)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Let $H_j : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and $\beta_j \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ for $1 \le j \le k$. Then $F(x) := x + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \theta_j \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_j(L(x)) + \beta_j x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if $\det\left(I + \left(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\theta_i\beta_j)\right)\right)_{1\le i,j\le k} \neq 0$.*

Proof. Since $\{\theta_1, ..., \theta_k\}$ is a basis of $\mathrm{Ker}(L)$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$, it follows that $\theta_1, ..., \theta_k$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$. It is easy to check that θ_i is a $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\theta_i\beta_j)$-linear translator of $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_j(L(x)) + \beta_j x)$ for $1 \le i, j \le k$. Letting $\gamma_j = \theta_j$ and $f_j(x) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_j(L(x)) + \beta_j x)$ in Theorem 2.2, then Corollary 2.8 follows. □

Corollary 2.9. *Let $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ be a primitive element of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and $m > 3$ be a integer. Let*

$$a = \frac{(\alpha - \alpha^{q^3})(\alpha^{q^2} - \alpha)^{q-1}}{\alpha^{q^2} - \alpha^q}, b = \frac{(\alpha^{q^3} - \alpha)(\alpha - \alpha^q)^{q^2-1}}{\alpha^{q^2} - \alpha^q}, c = -1 - a - b,$$

and $N(x) := x^{q^3} + ax^{q^2} + bx^q + cx$. Let $H_1(x), H_2(x), H_3(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}[x]$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. Then $F(x) := x + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_1(N(x)) + \gamma_1 x) + \alpha\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_2(N(x)) + \gamma_2 x) + \alpha^2\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_3(N(x)) + \gamma_3 x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if

$$\det\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\gamma_1) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\gamma_2) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\gamma_3) \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha\gamma_1) & 1 + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha\gamma_2) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha\gamma_2) \\ \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^2\gamma_1) & \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^2\gamma_2) & 1 + \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^2\gamma_2) \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Proof. Since $\alpha \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ is a primitive element of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, $1, \alpha, \alpha^2$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$. One can easily check that $1, \alpha, \alpha^2$ are the roots of $N(x)$ and α^i is a $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(\alpha^i\gamma_j)$ -linear translator of $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(H_j(N(x)) + \gamma_j x)$ for $0 \le i \le 2$ and $1 \le j \le 3$. Thus Corollary 2.9 follows immediately from Theorem 2.2. □

As an application of Theorem 2.2, we can get a large family of *complete mappings* (also called *complete permutation polynomials*), which are the permutation polynomial $F(x)$ with $F(x) + x$ being a permutation polynomial as well.

Corollary 2.10. *Let p be an odd prime and k be a positive integer. For any integers i and j with $1 \le i, j \le k$, let $\gamma_i \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, $b_{ij} \in \mathbf{F}_q$, γ_i be a b_{ij}-linear translator of $f_j : \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \to \mathbf{F}_q$ such that $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Let $A = \left(b_{ij}\right)_{1\le i,j\le k}$ be a $k \times k$ matrix over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and I be the $k\times k$ identity matrix over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Then $F(x) := x + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(x)$ is a complete mapping of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if $\mathrm{rank}(I + A) = k$ and $\mathrm{rank}(2I + A) = k$.*

Proof. In the similar way as in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we can show that $2x + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if $\mathrm{rank}(2I + A) = k$. Thus $F(x) := x + \sum_{j=1}^{k} \gamma_j f_j(x)$ is a complete mapping of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if $\mathrm{rank}(I + A) = k$ and $\mathrm{rank}(2I + A) = k$. So Corollary 2.10 is proved. □

3. Permutation polynomials of the form $L(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathbf{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x))$

In [2], Charpin and Kyureghyan studied permutation polynomials of the type $F(x) := G(x) + \gamma\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_q/\mathbf{F}_p}(h(x))$. When $G(x)$ is a permutation polynomial or a linearized polynomial, they characterized permutation polynomials of this shape. In this section, we characterize permutation polynomials of the form $L(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x))$. The third main result of this paper is given as follows.

Theorem 3.1. *Let l and k be positive integers with $l \leq k$. Let $L(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}[x]$ be a linearized polynomial such that $\dim(\mathrm{Ker}(L)) = k$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(L) \cap \mathrm{Im}(L) = \{0\}$. Let $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_l \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$ be linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and $h_1(x), ..., h_l(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}[x]$. Then $F(x) := L(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x))$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if there exists an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x+\varepsilon) - h_i(x)) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Ker}(L) \setminus \{0\}$.*

Proof. First we show the sufficiency part. Assume that there exists an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x+\varepsilon) - h_i(x)) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Ker}(L) \setminus \{0\}$. Take any two elements $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ satisfying $F(\alpha) = F(\beta)$, namely,

$$L(\alpha) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(\alpha)) = L(\beta) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(\beta)).$$

We deduce that

$$L(\alpha - \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(\beta) - h_i(\alpha)). \tag{3.1}$$

Since $\gamma_i \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(\beta) - h_i(\alpha)) \in \mathbf{F}_q$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$, we immediately get that $\sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(\beta) - h_i(\alpha)) \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$. But by (3.1), one has

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(\beta) - h_i(\alpha)) = L(\alpha - \beta) \in \mathrm{Im}(L).$$

It then follows from $\mathrm{Ker}(L) \cap \mathrm{Im}(L) = \{0\}$ that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(\beta) - h_i(\alpha)) = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Hence (3.1) together with (3.2) infers that $\alpha - \beta \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$. Thus there exists an element $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$ such that $\alpha = \beta + \varepsilon$.

We claim that $\varepsilon = 0$. Suppose that $\varepsilon \neq 0$. By the hypothesis, we know that there exists an integer i_0 with $1 \leq i_0 \leq l$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_{i_0}(\beta+\varepsilon) - h_{i_0}(\beta)) \neq 0$. Since $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_l$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$, it follows from (3.2) that for all j with $1 \leq j \leq l$, one has $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_j(\beta) - h_j(\alpha)) = 0$, i.e., $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_j(\beta+\varepsilon) - h_j(\beta)) = 0$. In particular, we have $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_{i_0}(\beta+\varepsilon) - h_{i_0}(\beta)) \neq 0$. This arrives at a contradiction. Thus $\varepsilon = 0$. The claim is proved. Therefore $F(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. The sufficiency part is proved.

Let us now show the necessity part. Let $F(x)$ be a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$. For any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Ker}(L) \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$F(x+\varepsilon) - F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x+\varepsilon) - h_i(x)). \tag{3.3}$$

Since $F(x)$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$, it follows from (3.3) that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x+\varepsilon) - h_i(x)) \neq 0.$$

But $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_l \in \mathrm{Ker}(L)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$. Hence there exists an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x+\varepsilon) - h_i(x)) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \mathrm{Ker}(L) \setminus \{0\}$. The necessity part is proved.

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is complete. □

By Theorem 3.1, we can easily deduce the following consequences.

Corollary 3.1. *Let l and $m \geq 2$ be positive integers with $\gcd(p, m) = 1$ and $l < m$. Let $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_l \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathbf{F}_q$ be linearly independent over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and $h_1(x), ..., h_l(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}[x]$. Then $F(x) := \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x))$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ if and only if there exists an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x+\varepsilon) - h_i(x)) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{F}_{q^m} \setminus \mathbf{F}_q$.*

Proof. By the proof of Corollary 2.1, we know that $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}) = \mathbf{F}_q$ and $\mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}) \cap \mathbf{F}_q = \{0\}$. Then applying Theorem 3.1 to $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^m}/\mathbf{F}_q}(x)$ gives us Corollary 3.1. □

Corollary 3.2. *Let p be an odd prime, l and k be positive integers with $l \leq k$. Let $\{\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_k\}$ be a basis of $\mathbf{F}_{q^k}$ over $\mathbf{F}_q$ and $h_1(x), ..., h_l(x) \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}[x]$. Then $F(x) := x - x^{q^k} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} \gamma_i \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x))$ is a permutation polynomial of $\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}$ if and only if there exists an integer i with $1 \leq i \leq l$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}/\mathbf{F}_q}(h_i(x+\varepsilon) - h_i(x)) \neq 0$ for any $x \in \mathbf{F}_{q^{2k}}$ and any $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{F}_{q^k}^*$.*

Proof. By the proof of Corollary 2.2, we conclude that $\mathrm{Ker}(x - x^{q^k}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(x - x^{q^k}) = \{0\}$. So Corollary 3.2 follows from Theorem 3.1 by setting $L(x) = x - x^{q^k}$ and $m = 2k$. □

References

[1] M. Ayad, K. Belghaba and O. Kihel, On permutation binomials over finite fields, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 89 (2014), 112-124.

[2] P. Charpin and G. Kyureghyan, When does $F(x) + \gamma Tr(H(x))$ permute F_{p^n}? Finite Fields Appl. 15 (2009), 615-632.

[3] P. Charpin and G. Kyureghyan, On a class of permutation polynomials over $\mathbf{F}_{2^n}$, in: SETA 2008, in: Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., Vol. 5203, Springer-Verlag, 2008, pp. 368-376.

[4] X. Hou, Two classes of permutation polynomials over finite fields, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A 118 (2011), 448-454.

[5] G. Kyureghyan, Constructing permutations of finite fields via linear translators, J. Combinatorial Theory, Series A 118 (2011), 1052-1061.

[6] Y. Laigle-Chapuy, Permutation polynomials and applications to coding theory, Finite Fields Appl. 13 (2007), 58-70.

[7] R. Lidl and G.L. Mullen, When does a polynomial over a finite field permute the elements of the field? Amer. Math. Monthly 95 (1988), 243-246.

[8] R. Lidl and H. Niederreiter, Finite fields, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Second Ed., Vol. 20, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

[9] S. Ling and L. Qu, A note on linearized polynomials and the dimension of their kernels, Finite Fields Appl. 18 (2012), 56-62.

[10] J.E. Marcos, Specific permutation polynomials over finite fields, Finite Fields Appl. 17 (2011), 105-112.

[11] A. Masuda and M.E. Zieve, Permutation binomials over finite fields, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 361 (2009), 4169-4180.

[12] X. Qin and S. Hong, Constructing permutation polynomials over finite fields, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc., doi:10.1017/S0004972713000646. Published online 7 August 2013.

[13] J. Schwenk and K. Huber, Public key encryption and digital signatures based on permutation polynomials, Electron. Lett. 34 (1998), 759-760.

[14] D. Wan and R. Lidl, Permutation polynomials of the form $x^r f(x^{(q-1)/d})$ and their group structure, Monatsh. Math. 112 (1991), 149-163.

[15] M.E. Zieve, Some families of permutation polynomials over finite fields, Int. J. Number Theory 4 (2008), 851-857.

[16] M.E. Zieve, On some permutation polynomials over $\mathbf{F}_q$ of the form $x^r h(x^{(q-1)/d})$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (2009), 2209-2216.
[17] M.E. Zieve, Classes of permutation polynomials based on cyclotomy and an additive analogue, in: Additive Number Theory, Springer-Verlag, 2010, pp. 355-361.
[18] M.E. Zieve, Permutation polynomials on $\mathbf{F}_q$ induced from Rédei function bijections on subgroups of $\mathbf{F}_q$, arXiv:1310.0776.
[19] M.E. Zieve, Permutation polynomials induced from permutations of subfields, and some complete sets of mutually orthogonal latin squares, arXiv:1312.1325.

Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China
E-mail address: qincn328@sina.com

Mathematical College, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610064, P.R. China
E-mail address: sfhong@scu.edu.cn, s-f.hong@tom.com, hongsf02@yahoo.com